

Linear Algebra (Spring 2018)

Topic: Theorem of simultaneous diagonalizability [1]

Amin – 20 May 2018

Theorem: Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be *diagonalizable*. Then $AB = BA$ if and only if there is an invertible $S \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $S^{-1}AS$ and $S^{-1}BS$ are both diagonal (For the case of $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, it can be easily proved just as the same approach for complex field).

Proof:

Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be diagonalizable.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose there is an invertible $S \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that A, B are simultaneously diagonalizable in which $S^{-1}AS$ and $S^{-1}BS$ are both diagonal. Since diagonal matrices commute, then we have

$$S^{-1}ASS^{-1}BS = S^{-1}BSS^{-1}AS,$$

which implies $AB = BA$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $AB = BA$. Since A is diagonalizable, there exists a nonsingular matrix Q such that

$$D = Q^{-1}AQ = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{n_1} & & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2 I_{n_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \lambda_p I_{n_p} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$ are the distinct eigenvalues of A and n_1, \dots, n_p are their multiplicities, respectively (here, the repeated eigenvalues are grouped together). Assume this similarity transformation does not diagonalize B , where $B' := Q^{-1}BQ$ is not a diagonal matrix. Since A, B commutes we have

$$DB' = Q^{-1}AQQ^{-1}BQ = Q^{-1}BQQ^{-1}AQ = B'D.$$

Since B' commutes with D , then B' must be a block diagonal matrix conformal to D and hence

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} B'_{n_1} & & & 0 \\ & B'_{n_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & B'_{n_p} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $B'_{n_i} \in M_{n_i}$. Since B is diagonalizable, then there exists a nonsingular matrix P such that $E := P^{-1}BP$ which is a diagonal matrix. Then, $B' = Q^{-1}BQ = Q^{-1}PEP^{-1}Q = Y^{-1}EY$ where $Y := P^{-1}Q$ is an invertible matrix. Therefore, B' is diagonalizable and this further implies that $B'_{n_i}, i = 1, \dots, n_p$ is diagonalizable (by Claim 1). Then, there exists nonsingular $X_i \in M_{n_i}$ such that $X_i^{-1}B'_{n_i}X_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_p$ is a diagonal matrix. Let $X := \text{diag}\{X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}, \dots, X_{n_p}\}$ (a block diagonal matrix). This implies $\Lambda = X^{-1}B'X$ and $\Gamma = X^{-1}DX$ are diagonal matrices. Therefore, there exists invertible $S := QX$ such that $\Lambda = S^{-1}BS$ and $\Gamma = S^{-1}AS$ are diagonal matrices and the proof is completed. \square

▲ *Claim 5: Define $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} such that*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & A_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_k \in M_{n_i}$ are block matrices. Then, A is diagonalizable if and only if each of A_k is diagonalizable.
Proof:

(\Leftarrow) Let A_1, \dots, A_k be diagonalizable. Then, there exist invertible Q_i such that $Q_i^{-1}A_iQ_i$ is diagonal matrix. Let $Q := Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Q_k$ and then clearly we have $D = Q^{-1}AQ$ is a diagonal matrix. Hence, A is diagonalizable.

(\Rightarrow) Let A is diagonalizable, then there exist invertible Q such that $D = Q^{-1}AQ$ where $D = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ is a diagonal matrix. Then, $AQ = QD$ and we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & A_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^j \\ \vdots \\ x_k^j \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_j \begin{bmatrix} x_1^j \\ \vdots \\ x_k^j \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v_j = [x_1^j, \dots, x_k^j]^t$ is the j -th column of Q and x_ℓ^j is a vector as well such that $A_\ell x_\ell^j = \lambda_j x_\ell^j$ where $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ and A_ℓ is $n_\ell \times n_\ell$ matrix. Knowing that Q is invertible, we can define the invertible submatrix (and full rank) as $Y := [x_\ell^{m_1}, \dots, x_\ell^{m_{n_\ell}}]$ which is an $n_\ell \times n_\ell$ matrix where $m_1, \dots, m_{n_\ell} \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then, we can write $A_\ell Y = Y\Lambda$ where $\Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_{m_1}, \dots, \lambda_{m_{n_\ell}}\}$ which is a diagonal matrix. Hence, $A_\ell = Y\Lambda Y^{-1}$ and the proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed., 2012.